DEC-11-200 PSGE 1:07-02-08219-LETOSTENDE FLAW DOCUMENT 127 FAFIRED 12/12/2007 Page 1 of 1 P. 001

THE BOSTANY LAW FIRM

DEC 12 2007

40 WALL STREET

ALEW JERSEY OFFICE

OUT OF THE BOSTAN PROPERTY OF THE PRO

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005-1304

TEL: 212-530-4400

Hon. Debra C. Freeman
United States Magistrate Judge
Southern District of New York
Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Room 525
New York, New York 10007

WENO ENDORSED

The Court construer the within little as a motion of second construer the within little as a motion of second of the Court's and as nich, the nurthouse notion is denied, while cankle reports that the court are looked certain facts in invite that the decision, the court does not find unity its decision, the court does not find that there ablishmed facts united that here wateral

Re: GMA v. Eminent, Inc. et. al to its araby 50 ORDERED:

Docket No.: 07 CV 3219 (LTS) (DF)

Your Honor:

DEBRA FREEMAN

I respectfully submit that Your Honor's decision of this date does not address the duces tecum portion of the subpoena. Plaintiff's November 21 application sought enforcement "both with respect to the production of documents that were due on November 14 and the deposition" pursuant to the subpoena of CHARLOTTE B LLC annexed as Exhibit A to the November 21 letter to the Court. My November 28 reply letter also mentioned that the documents were not produced and that counsel had not offered an excuse for failing to comply or move to quash the document production portion of the subpoena.

With respect to the deposition portion of the subpoena, I respectfully remind the Court that the subpoena was not only served on the registered agent but was also served on CHARLOTTE B's counsel in New York city. See Exhibit C to the November 21 application. That this service took place is not in dispute. See November 23 letter from Mr. Sacks to the Court saying "The Solnicki subpoena was followed by a subpoena for CHARLOTTE B LLC also served upon us as counsel". As such I respectfully ask, for the reasons contained in our prior submissions, that the Court enforce the subpoena insofar as it was served upon New York City counsel for a New York City deposition of a New York City witness.\(^1\)

Accordingly, I respectfully ask that the subpoena be enforced with respect to the documents and that the flourt reconsider that portion of the decision that denied the deposition.

USDC SIMIY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FIED

Respectfully,
Description
John P. Bostany

I respectfully retract the argument that New York City is less than 100 miles from Dover as I was not prepared for this topic which arose for the first time during oral argument and was misinformed.